

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,593	02/22/2002	Akira Hamamatsu	16869P-041800US	5740
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			TRINH,	НОА В
EIGHTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŞAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834		2814	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>(h</i> )
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/082,593	HAMAMATSU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Vikki H Trinh	2814
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cov r sh et w	vith th correspond nc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on.  a reply within the statutory minimum of the operiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u Disposition of Claims	allowance except for formal m nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the ments is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐		
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	•	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	s. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docu</li> </ol>		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation  * See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	<b>).</b>
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langua 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	ge provisional application has	been received.
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I</li> </ol>	48). 5) 🔲 Notice.	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/082,593

Art Unit: 2814

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Admitted Prior Art (APA), specification, pages 2-4, and figure 1.

As to claims 1,4,7,9, 10, 11-13, APA discloses a method for setting inspection conditions for semiconductor devices having the steps of setting a first inspection condition (step 5, fig. 1) using chip matrix and chip size data (step 5, fig. 1) which are obtained by accessing a design database (step 4, fig. 1) via communication means (step 5, fig. 1); inspecting the devices with the inspection condition (step 5, fig. 1); revising (step 10, fig. 1) said inspection condition by using data obtained by the inspecting; and inspecting semiconductor devices using said revised inspection condition (step 11, fig. 1). See figure 1.

Note: the term "revise" is to mean "(v. t.) To review, alter, and amend; as, to revise statutes; to revise an agreement; to revise a dictionary".

http://www.onelook.com/?other=web1913&w=Revise

Note: the examiner interprets the term "extracting" to mean the same as reviewing or confirming the inspection results according to step 10. This interpretation is consistent with the definition of

Application/Control Number: 10/082,593

Art Unit: 2814

the term "extracting" which is defined as "to derive or obtain (information, for example) from a source". See <a href="http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=extracting">http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=extracting</a>

Note: the term "classifying" is to mean the same as "setting the areas to be inspected and to be excluded according to step 8. Also the term "classify" is defined as "—v.t., -fied, -fy•ing. 1. to arrange or organize by classes; order according to class. 2. to assign a classification to (information, a document, etc.). Cf. classification (def. 5)." See <a href="http://www.infoplease.com/dictionary/classify">http://www.infoplease.com/dictionary/classify</a>

As to claims 2,5, 14-15, inspection conditions (step 5, APA, fig. 1) set includes the information whether or not an area for inspection is in an area in which false alarms tend to occur (step 8, figure 1)

As to claims 3, 6,9, inspection conditions set are revised (step 10, fig. 1) so that faulty matter is detected based on the results of a review and classification of defects detected during inspection of the devices. See figure 1 and page 4, second paragraph.

As to claim 8, the images are displayed on a screen. See specification, page 2, first paragraph.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 03/02/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, applicants alleged that APA does not teach or suggest limitations of claim 1, in particular the step of "'setting an inspection condition by using chip matrix data and chip size data which are obtained by accessing a design database via communication means' ". On the contrary, the examiner applied APA, fig. 1, to every limitations of claim 1. According to

Application/Control Number: 10/082,593

Art Unit: 2814

claim 1, APA, step 5 of fig. 1 shows a design database step 4 using chip matrix data and chip size data which are obtained by accessing a design database via communication means. Also, the examiner interprets the term revising to mean reviewing. Furthermore, the definition of the term "revise" is to mean "(v. t.) To review, alter, and amend; as, to revise statutes; to revise an agreement; to revise a dictionary". <a href="http://www.onelook.com/?other=web1913&w=Revise">http://www.onelook.com/?other=web1913&w=Revise</a>
Thus, claim 1 is properly rejected.

As to claims 2-3, which depend on claim 1, are also properly rejected according to the rejection of claim 1 as set forth in the above.

As to claims 4-6, the examiner interprets the term "extracting" to mean the same as reviewing or confirming the inspection results according to step 10. This interpretation is consistent with the definition of the term "extracting" which is defined as "to derive or obtain (information, for example) from a source". See

http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=extracting Thus claim 4 is properly rejected.

As to claims 7-10, the term "classifying" is to mean the same as "setting the areas to be inspected and to be excluded according to step 8. Also the term "classify" is defined as "—v.t., -fied, -fy•ing. 1. to arrange or organize by classes; order according to class. 2. to assign a classification to (information, a document, etc.). Cf. classification (def. 5)." See <a href="http://www.infoplease.com/dictionary/classify">http://www.infoplease.com/dictionary/classify</a>

As to claims 11-13, step 5 of APA, fig. 1, shows that the design data is obtainable when accessing through the database.

Art Unit: 2814

As to claims 14-15, APA, fig. 1, step 5, shows that the inspection conditions are set using design data from the design database when the designer enters the requirement.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Vikki Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 308-8238. The Examiner can normally be reached Mon-Tuesday, Thurs-Friday, 7:30 AM - 6:00 PM Eastern Time. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wael Fahmy, can be reached at (703) 308-4918. General

Art Unit: 2814

inquiries relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0858. The fax number is (703) 308-2708.

Vikki Trinh, Patent Examiner AU 2814

> LONG PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER